Appl No. 10/760,331 Amil: dated September 30, 2005 Reply to Office action of June 30, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The applicant wishes to acknowledge, with thanks, the Office Action mailed on June 30, 2005. The examiner has acknowledged that claims 13, 28 and 38-40 are directed to allowable subject matter. New claim 41 is the equivalent of claim 13 rewritten in independent form containing all of the limitations of the base claim and all intervening claims. Accordingly, claims 1 and 11-13 have been canceled. Claims 2-6 and 14-15 have been amended to change their dependencies to claim 41; therefore, claims 2-10 and 14-15 are now directly dependent from claim 41. New claim 42 is the equivalent of claim 28 rewritten in independent form containing all of the limitations of the base claim and all intervening claims, which the examiner has indicated as allowable. Accordingly, claim 16 and 26-28 have been cancelled and claims 17-21 and 29-35 have been amended to update their dependencies to claim 42. New claim 43 is the equivalent of claim 38 in independent form containing all of the limitations of the base claim and all intervening claims. Accordingly, claims 36-38 have been cancelled and claim 39 has been amended to depend from new claim 43.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-6, 11, 12, 16-21. 26, 27 and 31-37 are rejected as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,539,207 to del Castillo et al. (hereinaster del Castillo). Withdrawal of this rejection is requested for the reasons that will now be set forth. Claims 1, 11-12, 16, 26-27 and 36-37 have been cancelled. Claims 2-6, 17-21 and 31-35 have been amended to depend from one of claims 41 and 42, which are allowable claims. Therefore, these claims should also now be allowable.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 7-10, 14, 15, 22-25, 29 and 30 are rejected as being obvious based on the combination of del Castillo and U.S. Patent No. 5,355,520 to Freeburg et al. Withdrawal of this rejection is requested for reasons that will now be set forth. Claims 7-10 and 14-15 have been amended to depend from new claim 41, claims 22-25 depend from claim 21 which has been amended to depend from new claim 42 and claims 29-30 have been amended to depend from

SEP 30 2005 17:54 FR TUCKER ELLIS WEST LLP216 592 5009 TO #895915712738300 P.10/11

Appl No. 10/760,331 Amdt. dated September 30, 2005 Reply to Office action of June 30, 2005

new claim 43. Therefore, claims 7-10-14, 15, 22-25, 29 and 30 depend from allowable claims and should also be allowable.

NEW CLAIMS 44 - 53

New claim 44 recites first antenna and second assemblies that comprise a plurality of antenna elements for transmitting and receiving wireless signals over a plurality of wireless channels, and a sectorized mounting structure for retaining each of the plurality of antenna elements substantially in an antenna isolation configuration so as to enable simultaneous sectorized signal communication of the antenna elements over the wireless channels. The first and second antenna assemblies are configured to nest interstitially in an inverted orientation with each other. Neither del Castillo nor Freeburg, alone or in combination, teach, suggest, motivate or show this. Claims 45-53 are directly dependent from claim 44 and should therefore be allowable for the same reason.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons just set forth, the pending claims in this application should now be in condition for allowance. Fees for new claims have been included with this response. If there are any fees necessitated by the foregoing communication, please charge such fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-0902, referencing our Docket No. 72255/00017.

Respectfully submitted,

TUCKER, ELLIS & WEST

Date: 9-30-2005

Registration No. 47,230

1150 Huntington Building

925 Euclid Avenue

Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1475

Customer No. 23380

(216) 696-3864 (phone)

(216) 592-5009 (fax)

Page 10 of 10